

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/444,791		05/19/1995	MANFRED BROCKHAUS	9191	5613	
151	7590	09/23/2003				
		ROCHE INC.	EXAMINER			
PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT 340 KINGSLAND STREET				SCHWADRON	SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
NUTLEY, N	UTLEY, NJ 07110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1644	21	
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

المعراق	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
	08/444,791	BROCKHAUS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the cerrespondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· is action is non-final.						
		osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66-68,70-84 and 86-124</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) 71,74-78,81,87 and 90-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	••						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 66-68,70,72,73,79,80,82-84,86,88,89,95,96 and 98-124.

Application/Control Number: 08/444,791

Art Unit: 1644

1. Since this application is eligible for the transitional procedure of 37 CFR 1.129(a), and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action is hereby withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(a). Applicant's first submission after final filed on 6/19/2003 has been entered.

2. Newly submitted claims 100-104,110-124 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons. The originally claimed invention (invention I) is drawn to a DNA molecule and vector containing said DNA classified in Class 536, subclass 23.4 and Class 435, subclass 320.1. The invention II of claims 100-104 is drawn to a method of making a DNA sequence, classified in Class 435, subclass 91.1. The invention III of claims 110-114,120-124 is drawn to a protein classified in Class 530, subclass 387.3. The invention IV is drawn to a method of making a protein classified in Class 435, subclass 69.7.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the claimed nucleic acid could be made by synthesizing individual nucleic acids to create the molecule recite din the claims rather than by fusing two different discrete molecules.

Inventions I and III are different products. DNA and proteins are distinct because they are structurally and functionally distinct and have different uses. The nucleic acids can be used in nucleic acid hybridization assays while the proteins can used in immunoassays to detect antibodies. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein could be made by conventional art known peptide synthetic techniques.

Application/Control Number: 08/444,791

Art Unit: 1644

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 100-104,110-124 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected inventions. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

A recombinant DNA wherein the human Ig is selected from the group consisting of IgG, IgA, IgM or IgE.

If applicant elects IgG, then a further species election is required between IgG1 or IgG3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 08/444,791

Art Unit: 1644

308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

GROUP 1800 1600

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1644